

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			_ <u></u>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,279	11/14/2003	Lorenzo Costa	033965.0021	1104
25461 7	2546] 7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II			MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL	
	REE STREET, N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GA 30309-3592		1754	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	R
Office Action Summary	10/713,279	COSTA ET AL.	
omos Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Maribel Medina	vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sneet v	vicii tila corraspondanca addrass	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO stute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 January 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21-47 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-47 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2003 i		objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	ļ
. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 04132	005

Application/Control Number: 10/713,279 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 & 103- Maintained

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over *Mutti et al*, Room-temperature visible luminescence from silicon nanocrystals in silicon implanted SiO₂ layers, Applied Physics Letters 66 (7) 1995, pp 851-853. (Mutti et al).

Mutti et al disclose silicon nanocrystals luminescent at room temperature; the nanocrystals are supported on silicon. Mutti et al disclose that the silicon is luminescent in the red-visible light region with a band peaked beyond 750 nm (See Page 851, 4th paragraph and the insert graph of Figure 2). In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product by process claims 21-38, as opposed to the product taught by Mutti et al, such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification

Art Unit: 1754

of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results; see also *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding new claims 41, 42, 45, 46, and 47. Mutti et al is silent with respect to the limitations of these claims. However these properties would have been inherently present in Mutti et al silicon product, since Mutti et al disclose that it is photo luminescent in the red-visible light region as instantly claimed, at a wavelength higher than 750 nm. Furthermore these limitations are typical of the silica, therefore they will be inherently present in Mutti et al silicon product (See applicants own disclosure in page 8, lines 1-10).

The burden shifts to the applicants to show that the product of Mutti et al does not have the limitations/properties of claims 41, 42 and 45-47.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments see Remarks/Arguments, pages 8-9, filed 1/3/05, with respect to Fujita et al have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Rejection to Claims 21-38, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over *Fujita et al*, has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/3/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue: "Mutti, defined in the application on pg. 2 as among the most relevant prior art, discloses a prior art of silicon implanted silica layer which is photolulminescent at ambient temperature. The material is photoluminescent in the blue light region. Although the material is photoluminescent n the red light region, that only occurs if the material is treated at higher than 1000° C. The material is not photoluminescent in the infrared region as is the nanocomposite material defined by the present claims. Moreover, the material

Application/Control Number: 10/713,279

Art Unit: 1754

does not show infrared absorbance as does the claimed nanocomposite material. Consequently, Mutti does not describe the claimed subject matter within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 102. Neither are there any suggestions, reasons or motivation in Mutti whereby a person skilled in the art would arrive at the process of producing the product or the product itself as defined in the present claims. Nothing would lead a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the Mutti substances so as to have photoluminescence in the red light region or to create a material having photoluminescence in the infrared region at ambient temperature and having infrared absorbance."

This argument is not convincing. Mutti et al clearly disclose that the silicon material is photoluminescent in the red-visible region at room temperature (See 4th paragraph in page 851). The fact that Mutti et al silicon product shows this property after being thermally annealed does not differentiate it from the instantly claimed product, since both products have the same properties.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/713,279

Art Unit: 1754

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maribel Medina whose telephone number is (571) 272-1355.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maribel Medina

Examiner

Art Unit 1754

STANLEY'S. SILVERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700